

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2555	
10/083,254	C)2/27/2002	Shigeru Nakamoto	500.41300X00		
20457	7590	04/14/2003				
ANTONE	LLI TERR	Y STOUT AND	EXAMINER			
	TH SEVEN	TEENTH STREET	GANDHI, JAYPRAKASH N			
ARLINGTO	ON, VA 22	2209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2125	6	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<u> </u>			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	——————————————————————————————————————			
		10/083,254		NAKAMOTO ET AL.	/			
^	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jayprakash N Ga		2125				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
THE Extended after af	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory mini vill apply and will expire S , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fir	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	nent.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept	oted or b)⊡ objecte	d to by the Exar	niner.				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	• •				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
_ 6	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	n has been rec	eived.	,			
Attachmer	•		2.2.2.33 120					
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) 🔲	-	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

- Application/Control Number: 10/083,254

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "104" has been used to designate both a clean room and an operation recording (log) terminal (page 14, lines 10-20). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show "communicating facility 111" as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- Application/Control Number: 10/083,254

Art Unit: 2125

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Song et al. (U. S. Patent 6,487,472).

Song discloses all the claimed invention of a semiconductor manufacturing apparatus including, a main unit 1, recording/operating means 20, display (diagnosis apparatus) 10, a program (built-in within 10/20), figures 6 and 7, column 7, lines 1-60⁺ and column 8, lines 1⁺.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Takahashi et al., Bode et al., and Fisher et al. disclose related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jayprakash N Gandhi whose telephone number is 703-305-7513. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 (1st M-F & 2nd M-Th.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 2125

ber: 10/083,254 Page 4

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5484.

Jayprakash N Gandhi Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125

JNG April 4, 2003